

Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

Current FIRs (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) are available on the NM Legislative Website (legis.state.nm.us). Adobe PDF versions include all attachments, whereas HTML versions may not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR Hobbs DATE TYPED 3/9/05 HJR 8/aHGUAC

SHORT TITLE PRC Commissioner Elections and Appointments SB _____

ANALYST Rosen

APPROPRIATION

Appropriation Contained		Estimated Additional Impact		Recurring or Non-Rec	Fund Affected
FY05	FY06	FY05	FY06		
	NFI		NFI		

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates SJR3 and SJR4
Relates to HJR2

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Responses Received From
Public Regulation Commission (PRC)

No Responses Received From
Attorney General's Office (AGO)
Governor's Office (GO)
Secretary of State (SOS)

SUMMARY

Synopsis of HGUAC Amendment

House Government and Urban Affairs Committee amendment to House Joint Resolution 8 makes technical changes to language, clarifying that the two appointed commissioners who fill the positions of the two members whose terms end in 2008 shall begin their terms on January 1, 2009.

Synopsis of Original Bill

House Joint Resolution 8 submits to voters a proposal to amend Article 11, Section 1 of the Constitution of New Mexico changing the membership of PRC as follows: the current system of five

commissioners elected by district will be replaced with a system in which three commissioners are elected by district and two are appointed by the governor with the consent of the senate. The five existing Commission districts are to be redistricted along the boundaries of the three congressional districts. For commissioners appointed by the governor, removal from office will be based on incompetence, neglect of duty, or malfeasance in office. The amendment does not provide for removal of elected commissioners. The two commissioners appointed by the governor must be of different political parties. Members will serve staggered four-year terms, with no more than two consecutive terms. Members will elect from amongst themselves a chairperson who will serve as chair for a term of two years.

If the proposed amendment is ratified by the voters, the appointed commissioners take office on January 1, 2009 and the elected commissioners take office on or about January 1, 2011.

Significant Issues

According to PRC, the proposed amendment does not address qualifications for gubernatorial appointees to the Commission. PRC questions whether mixing elected and appointed commissioners would create greater PRC accountability. PRC notes the proposed amendment provides for removal of appointed commissioners on particular grounds but does not subject elected commissioners to removal on the same grounds. PRC reports the Commission routinely engages in quasi-judicial administrative proceedings that affect the rights of parties and questions whether candidates for gubernatorial appointment should be subject to restrictions based on actual or potential conflict of interest or bias.

PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

According to PRC, the two appointed commissioners would be politically accountable to the governor, while the elected commissioners would be politically accountable to their constituents. This might have performance implications in that it would create different lines of accountability as between the two sets of commissioners, even though the Commission would still have a statutory duty to exercise its overall authority in the public interest

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

PRC reports this resolution may impact NMSA § 1-19A-10 (Public Election Fund: Creation and Use). Placing this constitutional item on the ballot could also pose some unanticipated costs to the SOS if it is done by special election.

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

According to PRC, having a mixture of elected and appointed commissioners could cause uncertainty about the administrative placement of the Commission within the executive branch. For example, would the Commission be subject to executive orders of the Governor?

CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP

This resolution duplicates SJR3 and SJR4, which also submit to the voters a proposed constitutional amendment to replace the current system of five commissioners elected by district with a system in which three commissioners would be elected by district and two would be appointed

by the governor. SJR3 does not include any requirement that the two appointed commissioners be of different political parties.

This resolution relates to HJR2, which also would submit to the voters a proposed constitutional amendment to make fundamental changes to the Commission, removing the existing constitutional authority of the Commission and replacing it with such authority as might be conferred by the legislature.

TECHNICAL ISSUES

PRC indicates several technical issues with this resolution:

The terms “incompetence,” “neglect of duty,” and “malfeasance in office” are not defined and could be the subject of litigation.

The proposed amendment does not address issues of actual or potential bias, conflicts of interests, or the appearance of such bias or conflicts in the possible appointment of former employees of regulated entities to the commission. Examples of “problem” appointments might include persons currently or formerly affiliated with a regulated entity, such as attorneys, consultants, or contractors for such an entity.

If the proposed amendment is approved by the voters, the legislature would have to conform the Commission’s other organic statutes (such as the Public Regulation Commission Act, NMSA § 8-8-1, et seq.) and statutes relating to the Commission’s substantive authority (such as those relating to public utilities, telecommunications, transportation, and insurance – see, e.g., NMSA §§ 62-1-1, 63-1-1, 63-9A-1, 65-2-80, and 59A-1-1, [et seq.]).

ALTERNATIVES

Retain the current terms of Article 11, § 1 of the New Mexico Constitution.

Refine terms in the proposed amendment relating to the process, standards, and effect of gubernatorial appointments.

WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL?

Status quo

JR/sb:yr